

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

7 MICHAEL ERIC PITTMAN,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 KELLI MCINTYRE, CHRISTINE
11 EDWARDSON, HAROLD SNIVELY
12 and STEVE SINCLAIR,

13 Defendants.

NO. CV-05-5121-EFS

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

14 BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff's letter requesting immediate
15 injunctive relief, accompanied by 22 pages of documents (Ct. Rec. 22).
16 Because Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* the court will liberally
17 construe his letter as a motion for preliminary injunction. Plaintiff
18 is advised, if he wishes to make a request of the court, he must do so
19 in the form of a motion which is properly noted for hearing as
20 required by LR 7.1(h), Local Rules for the Eastern District of
21 Washington. **PLAINTIFF IS CAUTIONED THAT ANY FURTHER MOTIONS SUBMITTED**
22 **TO THE COURT WITHOUT NOTING THEM FOR HEARING IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE**
23 **LOCAL RULES WILL NOT BE ADDRESSED BY THE COURT.**

24 Plaintiff's request for injunctive relief is premature. The
25 court has no jurisdiction to address Plaintiff's motion until he has
26 filed a legally sufficient complaint. See generally Fed. R. Civ. P. 3

1 and the notes following the rule. Furthermore, Defendants are not
2 parties to a suit before being served with process, see *Neals v.*
3 *Norwood*, 59 F.3d 530, 532 (5th Cir. 1995). Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED**
4 Plaintiff's Motion (Ct. Rec. 22) is **DENIED**.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to
6 enter this order and forward a copy to Plaintiff.

7 **DATED** this 24th day of March 2006.

8
9 S/ Edward F. Shea

10 _____
11 EDWARD F. SHEA
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27